UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

IRONWORKS PATENTS, LLC,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

v.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, against Defendant AsusTek Computer Inc., ("Asus" or "Defendant") that relates to four U.S. patents owned by Ironworks Patents, LLC ("Ironworks" or "Plaintiff"): 6,002,390; 6,850,150; 9,521,269 and RE39,231 (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Ironworks is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Illinois, with an office at 125 S. Clark St., 17th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60603.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant AsusTek Computer Inc. is a corporation organized and under the laws of Taiwan, with a principal place of business at No. 15, Li-Te Road, Beitou District, Taipei 112, Taiwan and may be served pursuant to the provisions of the Hague Convention.
- 3. Asus makes, uses, imports, sells and offers for sale wireless mobile devices including smartphones, tablets, and related applications and services.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, and more particularly 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. Asus is subject to this Court's general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 17.042, due at least to its substantial business conducted in this District, including: (i) having solicited business in the State of Texas, transacted business within the State of Texas and attempted to derive financial benefit from residents of the State of Texas in this District, including benefits directly related to the instant patent infringement causes of action set forth herein; (ii) having placed its products and services into the stream of commerce throughout the United States and having been actively engaged in transacting business in Texas and in this District, and (iii) having committed the complained of tortious acts in Texas and in this District.
- 7. Asus, directly and/or through subsidiaries and agents (including distributors, retailers, and others), makes, imports, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, uses, and advertises (including offering products and services through its website, https://store.asus.com/us/, as well as other retailers) its products and/or services in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Asus, directly and/or through its subsidiaries and agents (including distributors, retailers, and others), has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of its infringing products and/or services, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased and used by consumers in the Eastern District of Texas. These infringing products and/or services have been and continue to be purchased and used by

consumers in the Eastern District of Texas. Asus has committed acts of patent infringement within the State of Texas and, more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas.

8. Venue is proper as to Defendant because 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3) provides that "a defendant not resident in the United States may be sued in any judicial district, and the joinder of such a defendant shall be disregarded in determining where the action may be brought with respect to other defendants."

BACKGROUND FACTS REGARDING THE IRONWORKS PATENTS

- 9. Ironworks is the owner of record and assignee of each of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,002,390 ("the '390 Patent"); 6,850,150 ("the '150 Patent"); 9,521,269 ("the '269 Patent") and RE39,231 ("the '231 Patent") (collectively the "Patents-in-Suit").
- 10. The '390 and '231 Patents were originally filed by, and assigned to, Sony Corporation ("Sony").
- 11. Sony, based in Japan, is one of the world's largest consumer electronics and entertainment companies.
- 12. Sony spends a significant amount of revenue on research and development. For example, Sony Corporation spent over \$4 billion on research and development in each year from 2012 -2016 (e.g. 468,183 million yen in 2016).
- 13. Sony's long history of innovation has resulted in the company being awarded more than 3,200 patents.
- 14. The '150 Patent was originally filed by, and assigned to, Nokia Mobile Phones Ltd. ("Nokia"). The '269 Patent is a continuation of a continuation application filed by Nokia.
- 15. Nokia is a Finnish multinational communications and information technology company, and at one time was the world's largest producer of mobile phones.

- 16. For example, the world's first mobile phone satellite call was made on a Nokia phone.
- 17. For more than 20 years, Nokia has defined many of the fundamental technologies used in virtually all mobile devices and taken a leadership role in standards setting. As a result, Nokia owns a leading share of essential patents for GSM, 3G radio and 4G LTE technologies. These, together with other Nokia patents for Wi-Fi and video standards, form the core of Nokia's patent portfolio.
- 18. Nokia spends a significant amount of revenue on research and development. For example, Nokia spent about 4.9 billion Euros R&D investment in 2011 and 2016. Between 1984 and 2014, Nokia has invested more than 50 billion Euros to create a portfolio of 30,000 patents and patent applications.
- 19. Nokia's long history of innovation has resulted in the company being awarded more than 30,000 patents in more than 10,000 patent families.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT AND CLAIMS-IN-SUIT

- 20. Ironworks has the exclusive right to sue and the exclusive right to recover damages for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit during all relevant time periods.
- 21. On December 14, 1999, the '390 Patent entitled "Text input device and method" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.
- 22. On February 1, 2005, the '150 Patent entitled "Portable Device" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.
- 23. On December 13, 2016, the '269 Patent entitled "Method of giving the user information and portable device" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.

24. On August 8, 2006 U.S. Patent No. RE39,231 entitled "Communication terminal equipment and call incoming control method" was duly and legally issued by the USPTO.

ASUS'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 25. Asus has been, and now is, directly infringing claims of the Patents-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the below accused smartphones, tablets, and other mobile wireless devices in this District and elsewhere in the United States that include the systems claimed in the Patents-in-Suit and/or by using the methods claimed in the Patents-in-Suit, including, for example, Asus's use of said methods during set-up, testing, and demonstration of its smartphones and tablets.
- 26. Asus has been and now is inducing the direct infringement of method claims of the Patents-in-Suit pursuant to U.S.C. § 271(b) at least by one or more of making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing the below accused smartphones, tablets, and other mobile wireless devices in this District and elsewhere in the United States that were designed and intended to use and/or practice the methods and processes covered by the Patents-in-Suit. Further, Asus has induced infringement by, for example, providing user guides and other support materials and services to its users and by advertising features that are used, and benefits that are achieved through use of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 27. Despite Asus's awareness of the Patents-in-Suit, Asus has continued these acts of inducement with specific intent to cause and encourage direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit with willful blindness that such activities occurred, are still occurring, and constitute direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

ASUS'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT AND CONTINUED INFRINGEMENT DESPITE THAT KNOWLEDGE

- 28. The Patents-in-Suit were previously owned by MobileMedia Ideas, LLC ("MMI").
- 29. Prior to this litigation, MMI attempted to resolve the issues now in this litigation over a period of six years through at least three in-person meetings with Asus; numerous emails to Asus; at least two sets of claim charts sent to Asus; and multiple conference calls between MMI and Asus.
- 30. On June 16, 2010 counsel for MMI sent a letter to Mr. Jerry Shen, CEO of ASUSTek Computer Inc. and Mr. Steve Chang, President of ASUS Computer International by Federal Express. Asus has been aware of several of the Patents-in-Suit no later than that date (including the '390, '150 and '231.)
- 31. In addition to identifying these Patents-in-Suit, MMI's June 16, 2010 letter identified the Asus products and methods that infringe them, including Asus mobile phones and tablets, including several specific Asus phone and tablet models available at that time.
- 32. After several follow-up emails, the MMI and Asus met in person in February 2012, entered into an NDA to allow the parties to discuss "a possible license agreement for ASUS to the patent portfolio owned by MMI," and met again in person in September 2012 and again in March 2013.
- 33. During the meetings and via email, MMI provided Asus with illustrative claim charts, including charts for the '390 Patent. Asus provided feedback and comments on those claim charts.
- 34. MMI follow-up with Asus multiple times through 2016, providing updates on MMI's litigation and licensing efforts.

- 35. To Ironworks' knowledge, Asus never raised any non-infringement defense related to any of the Patents-in-Suit and never raised any prior art issues related to any of the Patents-in-Suit.
 - 36. Asus has not agreed to enter into a licensing agreement with MMI or Ironworks.
- 37. This Complaint serves as additional notice to Asus of the Patents-in-Suit and the manner in which they are infringed.
- 38. Despite knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and knowledge of the manner in which the Patents-in-Suit are infringed as demonstrated in the provided claim charts, Asus has continued to infringe, and/or induce the infringement of, the Patents-in-Suit.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF PAT. RE39,231 CLAIM 12

- 39. Ironworks reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 38 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 40. Claim 12 of the '231 Patent provides:

Preamble	A communication terminal for informing a user of a received call from a
to Claim 12	remote caller by an alert sound, comprising:
Element A	an alert sound generator for generating the alert sound when the call is
	received from the remote caller;
Element B	control means for controlling said alert sound generator; and
Element C	means for specifying a predetermined operation by the user, wherein when
	said alert sound generator is generating the alert sound and said means for
	specifying said predetermined operation is operated by the user, said
	control means controls said alert sound generator to change a volume of the
	generated alert sound only for the received call, without affecting the
	volume of the alert sound for future received calls, while leaving a call
	ringing state, as perceived by the remote caller, of the call to the terminal
	from the remote caller unchanged,
Element D	further comprising: RF signal processing means for transmitting and/or
	receiving radio waves; and an antenna for transmitting and/or receiving
	said radio waves, wherein said call ringing state between said apparatus
	and said remote caller is established by said transmitted and/ or received
	radio waves.

- 41. Asus makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and imports wireless mobile devices, including mobile smartphones that are communication terminals for informing a user of a received call from a remote caller by an alert sound ("Accused '231 Asus Phones"). The Accused '231 Asus Phones include, for example, at least ZenFone and PadFone series devices.
- 42. Asus has and continues to make, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale the Accused Polite Ignore Asus Smartphones that meet each and every element of claim 12 of the '231 Patent.
- 43. Accused '231 Asus Phones are communication terminals that inform a user of a received call from a remote caller by an alert sound (e.g., an incoming call alert).
- 44. Accused '231 Asus Phones include an alert sound generator (e.g., a loudspeaker assembly) for generating the alert sound when the call is received from the remote caller.
- 45. Accused '231 Asus Phones include control means (e.g., a volume key) for controlling the alert sound generator (e.g., silencing a call alert).
- 46. Accused '231 Asus Phones include means for specifying a predetermined operation by the user (e.g., pressing the volume key).
- 47. When the Accused '231 Asus Phones alert sound generator is generating the ringtone and the volume button is pressed by the user, the control means controls the alert sound generator to change a volume of the generated ringtone (e.g., silence or reduce the ring volume) only for the received call.
- 48. The ringtone is silenced for the incoming call without affecting the volume of the alert sound for future received calls, while leaving a call ringing state, as perceived by the remote caller, unchanged.
 - 49. Accused '231 Asus Phones include RF signal processing means for transmitting

and/or receiving radio waves and an antenna for transmitting and/or receiving said radio waves.

- 50. The call ringing state between the Accused '231 Asus Phones and the remote caller is established by the transmitted and/ or received radio waves.
 - 51. Asus had knowledge of the '231 Patent since June 16, 2010.
- 52. The technology claimed in claim 12 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 53. Direct infringement of claim 12 occurs when Asus makes, imports, uses, sells and offers for sale the Accused '231 Asus Phones that meet claim 12 of the '231 Patent.
- 54. Asus makes, uses, and/or imports the Accused '231 Asus Phones knowing that Asus has infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 12 of the '231 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) directly.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of Asus's acts of patent infringement, Ironworks Patents has been and continues to be injured and has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF PAT. RE39,231 CLAIM 2

- 56. Ironworks reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 55 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 57. Claim 2 of the '231 Patent provides:

Element A	The communication terminal according to claim 12, wherein said control
	means controls the state of said alert sound generator to stop the sound.

58. Asus has and continues to make, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale the Accused '231 Asus Phones that meet each and every element of claim 2 of the '231 Patent.

- 59. Accused '231 Asus Phones include control means that control the state of the alert sound generator to stop the sound (e.g., silence the alert, mute sounds).
- 60. Direct infringement of claim 2 occurs when Asus makes, imports, uses, sells and offers for sale the Accused '231 Asus Phones that meet claim 2 of the '231 Patent.
 - 61. Asus had knowledge of the '231 Patent since June 16, 2010.
- 62. The technology claimed in claim 2 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 63. Direct infringement of claim 2 occurs when Asus makes, imports, uses, sells and offers for sale the Accused '231 Asus Phones that meet claim 2 of the '231 Patent.
- 64. Asus makes, uses, and/or imports the Accused '231 Asus Phones knowing that Asus has infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 2 of the '231 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) directly.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of Asus's acts of patent infringement, Ironworks Patents has been and continues to be injured and has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF PAT. 6,002,390 CLAIM 18

- 66. Ironworks reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 65 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 67. Claim 18 of the '390 Patent provides:

Preamble	A text input method comprising:
to Claim 18	
Element A	a display step of displaying a virtual keyboard having at least a plurality of
	keys for character input;
Element B	an input step of pointing at least each key on the virtual keyboard to
	perform key input by the virtual keyboard; and
Element C	a retrieval step of retrieving a plurality of candidate words from a
	dictionary storing a plurality of candidate words and a plurality of
	exemplary phrases, using the key input performed from the virtual
	keyboard at the input step as a retrieval condition;
Element D	the retrieval condition and the candidate words being dynamically changed
	in accordance with a change in a key input operation state of the virtual
	keyboard at the input step.

- 68. Asus makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and imports wireless mobile devices, including mobile smartphones that perform a text input method ("Accused '390 Asus Devices"). The Accused '390 Asus Devices include, for example, at least ZenFone, PadFone, Memo Pad, VivoTab, and ZenPad series devices.
- 69. Asus has and continues to make, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale the Accused '390 Asus Devices that meet each and every element of claim 18 of the '390 Patent.
 - 70. Accused '390 Asus Devices enable performance of a text input method.
- 71. Accused '390 Asus Devices display a virtual keyboard having at least a plurality of keys for character input.
- 72. Accused '390 Asus Devices users point to at least each key on the virtual keyboard to perform key input by the virtual keyboard.
- 73. Accused '390 Asus Devices retrieve a plurality of candidate words from a dictionary storing a plurality of candidate words and a plurality of exemplary phrases, using the key input performed from the virtual keyboard at the input step as a retrieval condition.
- 74. The retrieval condition and the candidate words are dynamically changed in accordance with a change in a key input operation state of the virtual keyboard at the input step.

- 75. Asus had knowledge of the '390 Patent since June 16, 2010 and allegations of how the Accused '390 Asus Devices infringe claims of the '390 Patent since at least February 2012.
- 76. The technology claimed in claim 18 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 77. Asus directly infringes claim 18 of the '390 patent by using the Accused '390 Asus Devices directly, including in relation to product testing.
- 78. In the alternative, Asus induces infringement of claim 18 of the '390 patent by end users including by distributing the Accused '390 Asus Devices that practice the claimed process in ordinary use.
- 79. Asus makes, uses, offers to sell, sells, and/or imports the Accused '390 Asus Devices knowing that Asus's customers and/or end users have directly infringed and are directly infringing each and every claim limitation of at least claim 18 of the '390 patent. Asus actively induces customers and end-users to directly infringe each and every claim limitation of at least claim 18 of the '390 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 80. Asus has been and is knowingly inducing its customers and/or end users to directly infringe at least claim 18 of the '390 patent with the specific intent to encourage such infringement, and knowing that the acts induced constitute patent infringement. Asus's inducement includes, for example, encouraging customers to turn on and use the Accused '390 Asus Devices by providing technical guides, product data sheets, demonstrations, software and hardware specifications, installation guides, and other forms of support that induce its customers and/or end users to directly infringe at least claim 18 of the '390 patent by using the Accused

'390 Asus Devices' features that are used, and benefits that are achieved through claim 18 of the '390 Patent.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF PAT. 6,850,150 CLAIM 1

- 81. Ironworks Patents incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 80 of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
 - 82. Claim 1 of the '150 Patent provides:

Preamble to	A portable device, comprising:
Claim 1	
Element A	control means for monitoring and controlling the operation of the device;
Element B	and a user interface which comprises alarm means for performing a silent
	alarm producing a silent, invisible, tactile sensation in the user;
Element C	wherein the control means are arranged to give the user abstract information on multiple internal operational events of the device by using various alarm patterns of silent, invisible sensations produced by the alarm means and sensed by the user,
Element D	the alarm patterns differing from one another such that at least one alarm pattern characteristic sensed by the user varies, said abstract information comprising a notification of a selected item on a menu of the user interface.

- 83. Asus makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and imports wireless mobile devices, including mobile smartphones that are mobile stations ("Accused '150 Asus Devices"). Asus '150 Accused Devices include, for example, at least ZenFone and ROG Phone series devices.
 - 84. Accused '150 Asus Devices are portable devices as described in this claim.
- 85. Accused '150 Asus Devices include a control means (e.g., a microprocessor with Android operating system software) for monitoring and controlling the operation of the device.
- 86. Accused '150 Asus Devices include a user interface, which includes alarm means (e.g., a vibration motor) for performing a silent alarm producing a silent, invisible, tactile sensation (e.g., vibration) in the user.
 - 87. Accused '150 Asus Devices' microprocessor and operating system are arranged to

give the user abstract information on multiple internal operational events of the device by using various vibration patterns produced by the vibration motor and sensed by the user.

- 88. Accused '150 Asus Devices' vibration patterns differ from one another so that the vibration characteristics sensed by the user varies.
- 89. The various vibration patterns give Asus device users abstract information on internal operational events of the device, including a notification of a selected item on a menu of the user interface.
 - 90. Asus had knowledge of the '150 Patent since June 16, 2010.
- 91. The technology claimed in claim 1 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 92. Asus directly infringes claim 1 of the '150 Patent by manufacturing and selling Accused '150 Asus Devices.
- 93. Asus makes, uses, and/or imports the Accused '150 Asus Devices knowing that Asus has infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 1 of the '150 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) directly.
- 94. As a direct and proximate result of Asus's acts of patent infringement, Ironworks Patents has been and continues to be injured and has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF PAT. 9,521,269 CLAIM 1

- 95. Ironworks reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 94 of this Complaint as though set forth fully here.
 - 96. Claim 1 of the '269 Patent provides:

Preamble	A mobile station comprising:
to Claim 1	
Element A	a user interface configured to enable a user to control operation of the
	mobile station by manual input and to obtain information on the operation
	of the mobile station,
Element B	a tactile alert device configured to generate a tactile vibration, and
Element C	a control circuit configured to control the tactile alert device to generate a
	first tactile vibration with a first pattern in response to a first event and a
	second tactile vibration with a second pattern that is distinctly humanly
	perceptibly different from the first pattern in response to a second event
	different from the first event,
Element D	wherein the first event is correct user manual input.

- 97. Asus makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and imports wireless mobile devices, including mobile smartphones that are mobile stations ("Accused '269 Asus Phones"). The Accused '269 Asus Phones include, for example, at least ZenFone and ROG Phone series devices.
- 98. Asus has and continues to make, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale the Accused '269 Asus Phones that meet each and every element of claim 1 of the '269 Patent.
 - 99. Accused '269 Asus Phones are mobile stations.
- 100. Accused '269 Asus Phones include a user interface configured to enable a user to control operation of the mobile station by manual input and to obtain information on the operation of the mobile station.
- 101. Accused '269 Asus Phones include a tactile alert device (e.g., a vibration motor) configured to generate a tactile vibration.
- 102. Accused '269 Asus Phones include a control circuit configured to control the tactile alert device to generate a first tactile vibration with a first pattern in response to a first event (e.g., a successful fingerprint scan) and a second tactile vibration with a second pattern that is distinctly humanly perceptibly different from the first pattern in response to a second event

(e.g., an incoming phone call) different from the first event.

- 103. The first event is correct user manual input (e.g., a successful fingerprint scan).
- 104. The technology claimed in claim 1 was not well understood, routine, or conventional at the time that the application was filed and provided a technological solution to a technological problem rooted in computer technology.
- 105. Direct infringement of claim 1 occurs when Asus makes, imports, uses, sells and offers for sale the Accused '269 Asus Phones that meet claim 1 of the '269 Patent.
- 106. Asus makes, uses, and/or imports the Accused '269 Asus Phones knowing that Asus has infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 1 of the '269 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) directly.
- 107. As a direct and proximate result of Asus's acts of patent infringement, Ironworks Patents has been and continues to be injured and has sustained, and will continue to sustain, damages.

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

- 108. Asus has infringed and continues to infringe the above identified claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit despite its knowledge of the '150, '390, and '231 Patents at least as early as June 16, 2010; specific knowledge of how Asus's accused systems/methods infringe the '390 Patent since February 2012 and the objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute patent infringement.
- 109. Asus's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit is willful and deliberate and its actions constitute egregious misconduct, including refusing to take a license, refusing to negotiate in good faith, and having knowledge of the patents-in-suit and notice of the infringement but having no reasonable factual basis for non-infringement or invalidity (e.g., as alleged in

paragraphs 33-67 above). This willful misconduct by Asus entitles Ironworks to enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. §284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. §285.

JURY DEMAND

Ironworks demands a trial by jury on all issues that may be so tried.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ironworks requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant AsusTek Computer Inc. as follows:

- A. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Asus has infringed the above-identified claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 271;
- B. Awarding the past and future damages arising out of Asus's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit to Ironworks in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- C. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that Asus's infringement is willful and awarding enhanced damages and fees as a result of that willfulness under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- D. Adjudging, finding, and declaring that the Patents-in-Suit are valid and enforceable;
- E. Awarding attorney's fees, costs, or other damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 or 285 or as otherwise permitted by law; and
- F. Granting Ironworks such other further relief as is just and proper, or as the Court deems appropriate.

DATED: January 16, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alison Aubry Richards
Alison Aubry Richards
IL Bar # 6285669 (also admitted in ED Texas)
arichards@giplg.com
Global IP Law Group, LLC
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone: (312) 241-1500

Attorney for Plaintiff Ironworks Patents, LLC